## United States District Court

## SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF WEST VIRGINIA AT BECKLEY

MARY WEBB, individually, and in her capacity as Administratrix of the Estate of Robert A. Webb

V.

REPORT OF PARTIES' PLANNING MEETING CASE NUMBER 5:09-01253

Raleigh County Sheirff's Department; Raleigh County Commission; Sheriff Danny Moore, Chief Deputy Steve Tanner; Deputy Greg S. Kade; and Deputy John E. Hajash

Guideline for parties and attorneys:

The parties are advised to use the Worksheet for Report of Parties Planning Meeting (Form USDC/ATTY-004 located on the Court's website at www.wvsd.uscourts.gov) and the suggested guidelines contained in the form's comments.

Pursuant to Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(f), a meeting was held on December 9, 2009 participating were: Michael A. Olivio and Travis Griffith for plaintiff(s) Kermit J. Moore for defendant(s) Deputy John E. Hajash for defendant(s) Raleigh County Sheriff's Department, Chip E. Williams for defendant(s) February 2, 2010 Pre-Discovery Disclosures. The parties will exchange by the information required by Fed.R.Civ.P. 26(a)(1). February 18, 2010 Plaintiff(s) should be allowed until to join additional parties and February 18, 2010 to amend the pleadings. Defendant(s) should be allowed until until to join additional parties and until February 18, 2010 to amend the February 18, 2010 pleadings.

USDC/ATTY-003   LR 16.1 (Rev. 2/07) Report of Parties Plainning Meeting				
4. Discovery Plan. The parties jointly propose to the court the following discovery plan: [Use separate paragraphs or subparagraphs as necessary if parties disagree.]				
Discovery will be needed on the following subjects:				
Liability and Damages				
Disclosure of electronically stored information should be handled as follows:				
By agreeement of parties				
The parties have agreed to an order regarding claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation material asserted after production, as follows:				
By agreement of parties				
This action is not suitable for designation as a complex case requiring special case management procedures and additional pretrial conferences. If the parties and attorneys believe that the case is complex, the basis for that belief is:				
The last date to serve discovery requests is June 30, 2010 The last date on which to take a discovery deposition is 45 days after the last date to serve discovery requests. The last date on which to take a discovery deposition is known as the "discovery completion date." [Discovery on to be completed by August 14, 2010]				
The parties Select: adopt the discovery limits set forth in the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. If the parties and attorneys believe that more discovery is needed, the basis for that belief is:				

USDC/ATTY-063 | LR 16.1 (Rev. 2/07) Report of Parties Plainning Meeting

Reports from retained experts under Rule 26(a)(2) due:
By the party with the burden of proof on an issue:June 14, 2010 ; By the party not bearing the burden of proof on an issue:July 14, 2010; and Expert witness disclosures intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same issue identified by another party:August 4, 2010
5. Magistrate judges will resolve discovery disputes. The parties do not consent to have a United States magistrate judge conduct any and all further proceedings in the case, including trial, and order the entry of a final judgment.
6. Mediation shall take place on or before October 30, 2010
<ol> <li>Potential dispositive motions shall be filed by September 14, 2010, with responses and replies filed according to the Local Rules.</li> </ol>
8. The parties request a pretrial conference in January, 2011  The plaintiff(s) shall submit a proposed pretrial order to defendant(s) on or before December 22, 2010
The defendant(s) shall compile a proposed integrated pretrial order and submit it to chambers of the presiding judicial officer on or before January 3, 2011
9. Where applicable, proposed jury instruction shall be exchanged and transmitted to chamber s of the presiding judicial officer in WordPerfect format on or before January 12, 2011
10. Where applicable, proposed findings of fact and conclusions of law shall be exchanged and transmitted to chambers of the presiding judicial officer in WordPerfect format on or before
11. A final settlement conference will take place on January 24, 2011

12. The case should be ready for trial by January 25, 2011, and at this time is expected to take approximately days.				
The parties do not request a confe	rence wi	th the court before entry of the scheduling order		
/s/ Kermit J. Moore	<del></del>	/s/ Michael A. Olivio		
Kermit J. Moore		Michael A. Olivio		
West Virginia State Bar #2611		West Virginia State Bar #7923		
Brewster, Morhous, Cameron, Caruth,		Romano & Olivio, PLLC		
Moore, Kersey & Stafford, PLLC		\$13 Quartier Street		
Post Office Box 529		Charleston, West Virginia 25301		
Bluefield, West Virginia 24701		(304) 414-0222		
304) 324-0348; (304) 324-0362 Fax		(304) 414-0225 Fax		
moore@brewstermorhous.com		molivio@olivio-griffith.com		
Attorney for Deputy E. Hajash		Attorney for plaintiff		
/s/ Travis A. Griffith West Virginia State Bar #9343 Griffith Law Offices, PLLC B13 Quarrier Street Charleston, West Virginia 25301 304) 414-0222 304) 414-0225 Fax griffith@griffithlawoffices.com Attorney for plaintiff		Chip E. Williams  Chip E. Williams  West Virginia State Bar #8116  Pullin, Fowler Flanagan Brown & Poe PLLO 600 Neville Street, Suite 201  Beckley, West Virginia 25801 (304) 254-9300 (304) 255-5519 Fax  cwilliams@pffwv.com  Attorney for Raleigh County Sheriff's Dept		
	<del></del>	Policiels County Commission, Shoriff Doors	_	
		Raleigh County Commission, Sheriff Danny Moore, Chief Deputy Steve Tanner and Deputy Greg S. Kade		